UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,782	07/11/2005	Cristina Gomila	PU030018	4133
	7590 06/07/2010 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Licensing LLC P.O. Box 5312			LEE, Y YOUNG	
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/541,782	GOMILA, CRISTINA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Y. Lee	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
·						
·— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
r aper mo(s), mian Date 0) Other						

Application/Control Number: 10/541,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 8, "." Should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 16 recites the limitation "the transitions" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 16 recites the limitation "the error" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claims 18 and 19 recite the limitation "the step of modifying" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

8. Claims 1-4, 9-11, 16-19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sekiguchi et al (5,715,008).

Sekiguchi et al, in Figures 4, 9, 12, and 18, discloses a motion image decoding method and apparatus for judging contamination regions that is the same method and video decoder as specified in claims 1-4, 9-11, 16-19, and 24 of the present invention, containing a deblocking filter 20, an error concealment stage (e.g. 3-19) that receives decoded macroblocks 2 for concealing errors in macroblocks having missing/corrupted data 36 by estimating their pixel values from previously transmitted macroblocks (e.g. 37 and Fig. 1) to yield error concealed macroblocks for input to the deblocking filter 20 which by deblocking the error concealed macroblocks avoids the spread of erroneous pixel values.

With respect to claims 2-4, 9-11, 16-19, and 24, Sekiguchi et al also discloses the error concealment stage varies the strength of the deblocking performed by the deblocking filter 20 in accordance with error concealment 16 by modifying a boundary strength value on transitions S100 between concealed macroblocks and error-free (correctly received) macroblocks and between pairs of concealed macroblocks by varying each of a pair of offset values A and B for the deblocking filter (e.g. Fig. 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 5-8, 12-15, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al in view of Matsushiro et al (6,201,612).

Although Sekiguchi et al discloses several ways of varying the deblocking filter, it is noted Sekiguchi et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose that error concealment modifies the quantization parameter as specified in claims 5-8, 12-15, and 20-23. Matsushiro et al however, in Figures 5, 6, and 9, teaches the concept of such well known QP average modification technique during error concealment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Sekiguchi et al and Matsushiro et al before him/her, to exploit the well known QP modification technique as taught by Matsushiro et al in the error concealment stage of Sekiguchi et al, in order to smooth blurring edges at high processing speed.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chien et al discloses temporal-spatial error concealment apparatus and method for video signal processing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,782 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Young Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

yl